4 Theory of Operators: I

1. We may recall what operators are from our earlier discussion:

$$\mathcal{O}\left|\eta\right\rangle = \left|\chi\right\rangle \tag{4.0.1}$$

- 2. Every observable quantity has an operator associated with it
- 3. Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors:

$$\mathcal{O}\left|\eta\right\rangle = \wr\left|\eta\right\rangle \tag{4.0.2}$$

There are special vectors of this kind associated with every operator.

4. Linear Operators: Consider two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} . These operators are considered "linear operators" if:

(a)

$$\left[\hat{A} + \hat{B}\right] \left|\eta\right\rangle = \hat{A} \left|\eta\right\rangle + \hat{B} \left|\eta\right\rangle \tag{4.0.3}$$

(b) If c is a number (may be complex) then

$$\hat{A}[c|\eta\rangle] = c\left\{\hat{A}[|\eta\rangle]\right\}$$
(4.0.4)

(c)

$$\hat{A}[|\eta\rangle + |\chi\rangle] = \hat{A}|\eta\rangle + \hat{A}|\chi\rangle$$
(4.0.5)

- 5. Note: The Hamiltonian operator is a linear operator. Why? Because the derivative operator is a linear operator.
- 6. The product of two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} is defined as follows:

$$\hat{A}\hat{B}|\eta\rangle = \hat{A}\left[\hat{B}|\eta\rangle\right] = \hat{A}\left[|\chi\rangle\right] = |\alpha\rangle$$
(4.0.6)

where we have assumed $\hat{B} | \eta \rangle \equiv | \chi \rangle$. Note this also defines the square of an operator:

$$\hat{A}^{2} |\eta\rangle = \hat{A} \left[\hat{A} |\eta\rangle \right]$$
(4.0.7)

7. Commutators: The commutator of two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} is defined as

$$\left[\hat{A},\hat{B}\right] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} \tag{4.0.8}$$

8. Note that an operator \hat{A} commutes with itself since

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{A}] = \hat{A}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{A} = 0$$
 (4.0.9)

9. Anti-Commutators: The anti-commutator of two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} is defined as

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_{+} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$$
 (4.0.10)

Note the "+"

- 10. Homework: Work out the following commutators:
 - (a) $\left[\frac{d}{dx}, \frac{d}{dx}\right] = ?$ (b) $\left[x, \frac{d}{dx}\right] = ?$ (c) $\left[f(x), \frac{d}{dx}\right] = ?$ (d) $\left[f(x), \frac{d}{dx}\right]_{+} = ?$ (e) $\left[\frac{d}{dx}, \frac{d}{dx}\right]_{+} = ?$ (f) $\left[\frac{d}{dx} + f(x)\right]^{2} = ?$
- 11. Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$\hat{A} \left| \eta \right\rangle = a \left| \eta \right\rangle \tag{4.0.11}$$

where a is a number, called the eigenvalue. $|\eta\rangle$ is the eigenvector.

12. Representing operators

- (a) Earlier we spoke about how we could "represent" vectors. That is, any vector can be represented as a linear combination of a complete set of vectors. (If this statement is not clear, please revise the linear algebra notes.)
- (b) Operators can be represented in a similar for. In fact if you have a complete set of vectors {|i⟩}, we can write an operator as a matrix. What we mean by this is we could represent an operator using a collection of matrix elements that have the following form:

$$A_{j,l} \equiv \left\langle j \left| \hat{A} \right| l \right\rangle \tag{4.0.12}$$

 $A_{j,l}$ is the (j, l)-th element of the matrix that is used to represent the operator \hat{A} . (Make sure to compare this with the Pauli spin matrix homework so you understand whats going on clearly.)

- (c) Does this definition make sense? $\hat{A} |l\rangle$ is another vector. You could call it $|m\rangle$ if you like. In that case the right hand side of Eq. (4.0.12) is the "dot" product of two vectors: $\langle j |$ and $|m\rangle$. The "dot" product of two vectors is a number. Hence the definition in Eq. (4.0.12) makes sense. (If these arguments are not a 100% clear to you, you need to go back and revise handout on linear algebra and also the one dealing with representation theory.)
- (d) For Eq. (4.0.12) to be useful we should know what \hat{A} does to $|l\rangle$ when it acts on it.